#### Which Legacy Parts are Additive Manufacturing Candidates?\*

AMMO WG 9 Nov 2016 Tom Parks

\* DLA Weapon System Sustainment Program R&D Project



- Military Services are actively pursuing AM capabilities, but, DoD (DLA and Services) don't have a standard process to quickly and consistently determine if a legacy part is a good candidate for AM
- DLA sponsored an R&D Task to address the issue



### **R&D** Approach Overview

- Identify Part Attributes Required to Inform AM Decisions
- Examine DLA Data for Required Part Attributes
- Develop AM Decision Support Process
- Test AM Decision Support Process
  - Supply Chain participants
  - Military Service participants
- Document functional requirements



### Part Attributes

Determining amenability of legacy parts to AM production requires detailed knowledge of the:

- Technical Attributes
- Logistics Attributes

### **Technical Attributes**

- Material/part specifications
  - Composition
  - Tensile strength
  - Elastic modulus
  - Elongation at break
  - Hardness
  - Heat-deflection temperature
  - Melting point
  - Density
  - Fire safety
  - Toxicity
- Overall part size
- Secondary Processing Requirements
  - Heat treatment
  - Machining
- Surface finish specifications
- Surface treatment (Plating, Anodizing, Painting, Passivating)

5

### Technical Attributes (cont)

- Individual feature dimensions
- Mating surfaces and interfaces
- Machining allowances
- Internal holes and features
- Application environment (some polymers are sensitive to light, humidity, temperature)
- Profile and dimensional tolerances
- Datum targets for machined features
- Attributes that might disqualify AM for part fabrication:
  - Thin walls (will vary by machine and by build orientation)
  - Thick parts (particularly in metals, thick/bulky parts will fail to build)
  - Features with very high aspect ratios (vary by machine and build orientation
  - Over-/under-hangs (particularly in metals; may be built depending on orientation, supports)

LMĨ

Material and size are primary physical determinants for AM Decision Support Process

### **Logistics Attributes**

- Supply Class (only Class IX)
- Item characteristics/special designations (CSI, FSCAP, nuclear, SubSafe, structural part, COTS...)
- Procurement contract type (competitive, IDIQ, long term....)
- Annual demand (past 2 years), purchase quantities
- Supply/stock status (not stocked, items on hand/ordered/backordered)
- Days on backorder
- PLT/ALT
- Unit price, unit of issue
- Technical data package existence/availability
- Data rights type/class
- QSL, QMSL, QAP
- Acceptance/test/qualification requirements

### Data Availability

- Ground rules for defining AM candidate domain
  - Consider only DLA-managed Class IX parts (~4.5M items)
  - Some dimensional data must be available
  - Exact match to common AM material required
    - No material substitution allowed/considered
- Dimensional data availability
  - ~2.35M items with some dimensional data
- AM material matches
  - ~1.7M items where material is Steel or Aluminum
    - Specific alloy unknown
    - Not considered an exact match
  - ~300K items where material is a polymer
    - Specific polymer unknown
    - Not considered an exact match
  - ~140K items with exact match to common AM materials
    - 72% polymers
    - 28% metals
- Material match + dimensional data availability = 43K legacy Items



### Business Process: AM Pre-screening Tool

- Web-based system to test efficacy of AM Business Process
  - Hosted by XSB, Inc.
  - Limited access (controlled by R&D team)
- User interface permits variety of searches based on four scenarios
  - Full Capability Search
    - Accommodates general exploration of potential AM candidates
    - User has no particular part/system in mind, just wants to explore possibilities
    - Provides maximum search flexibility; can perform all other searches below
  - 'Hard To Get Item' Search
    - Accommodates searches for items that are historically hard to procure
    - User wants info on specific part(s); user has FSC, NIIN, PLT info etc.
  - 'Weapon System' Search
    - Accommodates searches for items related to a specific weapon system
    - User wants info for parts for a specific platform (e.g., F-22)
  - 'AM System' Search
    - Accommodates searches for candidates based on a specific AM machine/system
    - User has access to a specific AM system and wants to know what part(s) can be
       produced on that system

### DLA Legacy Parts AM Prescreening Tool: Input Display

Z Zimbra: Inbox × a Dire	ectory × 🌣 Settings	× 🖻 M	1y AngularJS App 🛛 🗙 🔼				
← → C □ am.xsb.com/am/#,							₽☆
🗰 Apps 🗋 Free Hotmail 🔁 Suggested	Sites 📋 Imported From IE 🛛 🕅 WeightV	Vatchers.co 🖀 Electroi	nic Reading 🛛 🗱 general   XSB Slack				
XSB	Basic Search	Hard To Get Se	arch Weapons System Sea	arch Machine Se	arch User Guide	User Survey logout	
Basic Search :							
FSC :	choose fsc 🔻	Selected :					
Niin :		Selected :					
WSDC :	choose wsdc 🔻	Selected :					
Long Lead/Overage :	Select a range					•	
Cost :	Select a range					•	
Service :	Select a service					•	
System Name :	Select a system					•	
Criticality :	choose criticality •	Selected :					
Dimensions (inches) :	Dimension 1	x	Dimension 2	x	Dimension 3		
Material :	choose material 🔻	Selected :					
Machine :	Select a machine					•	
				Reset	Submit		

### DLA Legacy Parts AM Prescreening Tool: Results Screen

Apps 🗅			n/#/basicSearch sted Sites 🗀 Imported From IE 🗍	WeightWatchers.com	n 🕺 Electronic R	Reading Ro 🛛 🗱 ger	neral   XSB Slack				<b>9</b> 🕸
xs	B		Basic Search H	ard To Get Search	Weapons S	System Search	Machine Search	User G	Guide	User Survey	logout
Basic		ch:									
ack to Se	e <mark>arch</mark> Search N	Jiin	TDP Available	<ul> <li>Export to</li> </ul>	Excel (up to 10	00 records)					
								_	_		
			1560], Long Lead/Overage : >360	days, cost : \$100-1000,	, criticality : [F], ma	aterials : [ALUMINU	JM ALLOY] }				
	Found re					Production					
	fsc	Niin	ltem Name	Dimension	Material	Lead Time(days)	Administrative Lead Time(days)	Criticality	WSDC count	TDP Availability	
	1560	013837859	FITTING,STRUCTURAL COMPONENT,AIRCRAFT	MAXIMUM HEIGHT : 1.78 INCHES	ALU MIN UM ALLOY	291	90	F	4	true	
	_			Copyright ©	2014-2015 XSB	Inc					
Attentio		A Processing To	ol is a product of the DLA Weapon Syste		m It is a proof of cone	ant platform designed t	Attention	ng (AM) decision s	upport proc	oss for DLA logoov Cl	oss IX ports
s a test platf	form, it only i	includes about 1 p	ercent (i.e., approximately 43K items) of stem (EBS). (The Tool does not access F	all DLA Class IX parts. The T	Tool collects and displa	ays technical and logisti	cs information about individual	parts from the Fe	deral Logist	ics Information Service	e Program
andidates for	s of parts ba r production	using AM techniqu	I prescreening criteria (i.e., technical and ues. NOTE: This Tool does not provide	logistic attributes about a p an unequivocal assessme	art) selected by the us	ser. The Tool applies the	e specified criteria to the FLIS a	and EBS informat	ion to identif	fy parts that are poten	tial
ngineering r	review/appr	roval by the Servi	ce's designated Engineering Support /	Activity (ESA).							

### **Testing the Business Process**

- >55 Military Service and DLA personnel performed operational testing
  - US Air Force: AFMC, AFRL
  - UA Army: G4, CASCOM, RDECOM
  - US Navy: NAVAIR, NAVSEA
  - US Marine Corps: I&L, WL
  - DLA Supply Chains: AVN, L&M, TS
  - DLA HQ: J34 R&D, J344
- Legacy Parts AM Prescreening Tool tested November 2015 – July 2016



### Summary

- Wide range of AM variables and paucity of online technical data precludes fully-automated AM decision support process
  - Variability in parts (microstructure) made from same AM system
  - Variability in parts made using different AM processes
  - No DoD-approved AM process protocols for build orientation
  - No DoD-approved table of equivalencies for material substitution
  - No DoD-approved testing standards
  - Technical data recorded in unintelligent, 2D raster drawings
- Prescreening decision support process to identify "potential AM candidates" is feasible
  - Prescreening gets us 'in the ballpark'
  - Engineering review of tech data required for final decision
- DLA R&D initiated follow-on effort (Oct 2016) to refine the AM Prescreening Tool and identification process for 'problematic parts'
  - Focused on using AM as solution for problematic parts

### **R&D** Points of Contact

- Leo Plonsky, WSSP Program Manager
  - 215-737-4210
  - Leo.Plonsky@dla.mil
- Tony Delgado, AM Project Manager
  - 703-767-0614
  - Anthony.Monteleone@dla.mil
- Bruce Kaplan, LMI R&D Program Manager
  - 703-917-7284
  - <u>bkaplan@lmi.org</u>
- Tom Parks, LMI Project Leader
  - 703-917-7223
  - tparks@lmi.org

### **Back Up Slides**

### LMI Team

- LMI
  - Tom Parks, tparks@lmi.org, 703-917-7223
  - Russ Salley, <u>rsalley@lmi.org</u>, 703-917-7488
  - Rod Gipe, rgipe@lmi.org, 571-633-7693
  - Ryan Flanagan, <u>rflanagan@lmi.org</u>, 703-917-7092
- Penn State
  - Dr. Timothy Simpson, <u>tws8@psu.edu</u>, 814-863-7136
- Virginia Tech
  - Dr. Christopher Williams, <u>cbwill@vt.edu</u>, 540-231-3422
- XSB
  - Dr. Robert Pokorny, pokorny@xsb.com, 631-371-8115

### **Common Metallic AM Materials**

- Titanium alloys: Ti64, Ti64 ELI, Ti6242, commercially pure Ti,
- Nickle-based alloys: In718, In625, Waspalloy
- Aluminum alloys: AlSi10Mg, 4047
- Stainless Steel alloys: 17-4, 316, 15-5, 13-8, 304, 316, 410, 420
- Cobalt-chrome alloys: CoCrMo, Stellite 21
- Others: Bronze alloy



## **Common Polymeric AM Materials**

- ABS (Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene)
- ABS/Acrylic
- ABS/PBT (Polybutylene terephthalate)
- ABS/PP (Polypropylene)
- Acrylic
- ASA (Acrylonitrile Styrene Acrylate)
- Epoxy
- Oxycetane
- PA (Polyamide)
- PC (Polycarbonate)

- PEEK (Polyether ether ketone)
- PEI (Polyetherimide)
- PMMA (Polymethyl methacrylte)
- PP (Polypropylene)
- PPS (Polyphenylene sulfide)
- PS (Polystyrene)
- Rubber
- Silicone
- TPE (Thermoplastic elastomer)



### Task 3: Part Attribute Data Availability

NIIN Count	Material	Material Type		
59416	POLYAMIDE (NYLON)	polymer		
18842	EPOXY	polymer		
15796	TITANIUM ALLOY UNS R56400	metal		
12482	TITANIUM	metal		
7448	POLYCARBONATE	polymer		
5286	POLYPROPYLENE	polymer		
4367	STEEL ALLOY UNS S30403	metal		
4142	PLASTIC ACRYLIC	polymer		
2829	STEEL ALLOY UNS S43100	metal		
2255	ACRYLONITRILE BUTADIENE STYRENE	polymer		
2078	STEEL ALLOY UNS S30800	metal		
1915	STEEL ALLOY UNS S42000	metal		
1635	POLYSTYRENE	polymer		
769	POLYMETHYL METHACRYLATE	polymer		
636	POLYPHENYLENE SULFIDE	polymer		
202	TITANIUM UNS R50550	metal		
181	POLYBUTYLENE TEREPHTHALATE	polymer		
129	POLYETHERETHERKETONE	polymer		
124	POLYETHERIMIDE	polymer		
109	TITANIUM ALLOY UNS R56401	metal		
20	COBALT ALLOY UNS R30006	metal		
18	COBALT ALLOY UNS R30106	metal		
9	ALUMINUM ALLOY UNS A95356	metal		
7	ALUMINUM ALLOY UNS A92319	metal		
3	ALUMINUM ALLOY UNS A94047	metal		
2	NICKEL MOLYBDENUM ALLOY UNS N10276	metal		
2	2 STEEL ALLOY UNS T30106			
2	2 STEEL ALLOY UNS T20813			
0	COBALT ALLOY UNS R30012	metal		
0	STEEL ALLOY UNS S30400	metal		
0	STEEL ALLOY UNS S30803	metal		
0	STEEL ALLOY UNS T20812	metal		
0	ACRYLONITRILE STYRENE ACRYLATE	polymer		

LMĨ

~140K items with exact AM material matches

### **User Feedback**

- Tool and decision support process of significant value in identifying potential AM candidates
- Web-based app must be Internet Explorer compatible
- Gather/include input data from service databases
- Include service-managed parts
- Expand list of parts to include those that are not exact material matches or do not have dimensional information
- Include filters for supply chain, TDP availability, technical data rights, part demand, and backorder status
- Identify AM systems owned by service/OEM partners